



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,643	11/02/2000	Vojin Jeremijevic	Q61622	8866	
75	90 01/29/2003				
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak Seas Suite 800 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W			EXAMINER		
			GHAFOORIAN, ROZ		
Washington, DC 20037-3202					
wasnington, D	20031-3202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
			3763	3763 DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/674,643	JEREMIJEVIC, VOJIN			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roz Ghafoorian	3763			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspond nce address			
THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three modern contents and the period of extenditure that the period of the shortened (b) above, if checked.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the p FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:		finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been con <u>ee Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or vould be rejected is provided be	b)∏ will be entered and an low or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:					
		MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/674,643

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argument for reconsideration of claims 1-14,22-36 are not persuasive, the applicant alleges the hing 50 in Dombrowski is not resilent, however the defination of resilent in the dictonary is "ability to adjust easily" and hing 50 must be able to adjust easily when sleeve 36 is moving form first position in figure 2 to second position in figure 3, if hing 50 was no able to adjust easily when sleeve moved it would lead to seperation of hing 50 and sleeve 36. furthemore Dombrowski does teach several position, one position is when the needle bevel is exposed (fig 2) the second position is when the needle bevel is coverd (fig3) and the 3rd position is in between fig 2 and fig 3 when sleeve is in route to cover the needle bevel. applicant acuses the examiner in not examining the sturcture close enough to see the difference between the prior art and the applicants invention, however that is false Dombrowski's apparatus might not use the same names the applicant has selected to use in his invention however Dombrowski's invention consists of same structures that perform the same function the applicants invention is perforning, therefore rejection regarding claims 1-14, 22-36 are deemed proper and are hence maintained.

claims 15-22 are allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claims . upon furthure search the examiner has located pertenent art relating to claim 15, such as US Patent No.5256125 to Marks, US Patent No.6443929 to Kurancia et al, US Patent No.5304151 to Kuracina.